## The 15th September, 1983

No. ID/RTK/179-83/48181.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Lal and the management of M/s Chowdhury Ram Harbksh Singh Oil Mill Jhajjar Road (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in disputes or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/81-83/48188.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that industrial dispute exists between the workman Shrl Hari Ram and the management of M/s Rajdhani Electric Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 47, Sector-6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication:—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter of dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/31-83/48201.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Paras Nath and the management of M/s Belmount Rubber Industries, 58-B, NIT Faridadad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Paras Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 16th September, 1983

No. 1D/HSR/43-83/48704.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kumar and the management of M/s The Sirsa Central Co op. Bank Ltd., Sirsa regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l), section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refer to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?